

warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 26, 2000, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written Submissions

As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review, may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before June 29, 2000, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by June 29, 2000. However, should Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service

must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination

The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 15, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-6853 Filed 3-17-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-393 (Final) and 731-TA-829-830, 833-834, 836, and 838 (Final)]

Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Products From Argentina, Brazil, Japan, Russia, South Africa, and Thailand

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1671d(b), 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded, by reason of imports from Brazil of certain cold-rolled steel products, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be subsidized by the Government of Brazil, and by reason of imports of certain cold-rolled steel products from Argentina, Brazil, Japan, Russia, South Africa, and Thailand that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective June 2, 1999, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Bethlehem Steel Corporation (Bethlehem, PA); U.S. Steel Group (Pittsburgh, PA); Ispat Inland, Inc. (East Chicago, IL); LTV Steel Co.,

Inc. (Cleveland, OH); National Steel Corporation (Mishawaka, IN); Gulf States Steel, Inc. (Gadsden, AL); Steel Dynamics Inc. (Butler, IN); Weirton Steel Corporation (Weirton, WV); and the United States Steelworkers of America, Pittsburgh, PA. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by the Department of Commerce that imports of cold-rolled steel from Brazil were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)), and that imports from Argentina, Brazil, Japan, Russia, South Africa, and Thailand were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67307). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 20, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on March 13, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3283 (March 2000), entitled Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Products from Argentina, Brazil, Japan, Russia, South Africa, and Thailand: Investigations Nos. 701-TA-393 and 731-TA-829-830, 833-834, 836 and 838 (Final).

Issued: March 15, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-6856 Filed 3-17-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. TA-201-71

Crabmeat From Swimming Crabs

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution and scheduling of an investigation under section 202 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2252) (the Act) and determination that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated.

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by Aakron Rule; Dixon; General Pencil; Musgrave Pencil; Sanford; Tennessee Pencil; and WIMA, Pencil Section, Antidumping Committee, to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Lynn M. Bragg dissenting.